 CMU SCS

Carnegie Mellon Univ.
Dept. of Computer Science
15-415/615 - DB Applications


Lecture #17: Schema Refinement &
Normalization - Normal Forms
(R&G, ch. 19)


 CMU SCS


Overview - detailed 

- DB design and normalization
 - pitfalls of bad design
 - decomposition
 - normal forms

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 2

 CMU SCS

Goal 

- Design ‘good’ tables
 - sub-goal#1: define what ‘good’ means
 - sub-goal#2: fix ‘bad’ tables
- in short: “we want tables where the attributes depend on the primary key, on the **whole** key, and **nothing but** the key”
- Let’s see why, and how: 

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 3

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 4

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

'Bad' - why? because: ssn->address, name

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 5

CMU SCS

Pitfalls?

- Redundancy
 - ??
 - ??

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 6

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- Redundancy
 - space
 - (inconsistencies)
 - insertion/deletion anomalies:

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 7

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- insertion anomaly:
 - “jones” registers, but takes no class - no place to store his address!

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
...
234	(null)	null	jones	Forbes

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 8

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- deletion anomaly:
 - delete the last record of ‘smith’ (we lose his address!)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 9

CMU SCS

Solution: decomposition

- split offending table in two (or more), eg.:

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 10

CMU SCS

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
 - pitfalls of bad design
 - ➔ – decomposition
 - lossless join decomp.
 - dependency preserving
 - normal forms

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 11

CMU SCS

Decompositions

There are ‘bad’ decompositions. Good ones are:

- lossless and
- dependency preserving

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 12

CMU SCS

Decompositions - lossy:

R1(ssn, grade, name, address) R2(c-id, grade)

Ssn	Grade	Name	Address
123	A	smith	Main
123	B	smith	Main
234	A	jones	Forbes

c-id	Grade
413	A
415	B
211	A

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address
ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 13

CMU SCS

Decompositions - lossy:

can not recover original table with a join!

Ssn	Grade	Name	Address
123	A	smith	Main
123	B	smith	Main
234	A	jones	Forbes

c-id	Grade
413	A
415	B
211	A

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address
ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 14

CMU SCS

Decompositions - overview

There are 'bad' decompositions. Good ones are:

- lossless and **MUST HAVE**
- dependency preserving **Nice to have**

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 15

CMU SCS

Decompositions

example of non-dependency preserving

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address
123	London
125	Paris
234	Pitts.

S#	status
123	E
125	E
234	A

S# -> address, status
address -> status

S# -> address S# -> status

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 16

CMU SCS

Decompositions - overview

There are 'bad' decompositions. Good ones are:

- #1) lossless and **MUST HAVE**
- #2) dependency preserving **Nice to have**

How to automatically determine #1 and #2?

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 18

CMU SCS

Decompositions - lossless

Definition:
consider schema R, with FD 'F'. R1, R2 is a lossless join decomposition of R if we **always** have: $r1 \bowtie r2 = r$

An easier criterion?

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 19

CMU SCS

Decomposition - lossless

Theorem: lossless join decomposition if the joining attribute is a superkey in at least one of the new tables

Formally:

$$R1 \cap R2 \rightarrow R1 \text{ or}$$

$$R1 \cap R2 \rightarrow R2$$

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 20

CMU SCS

Decomposition - lossless

example:

Ssn	c-id	Grade
123	413	A
123	415	B
234	211	A

R1

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
234	jones	Forbes

R2

ssn, c-id -> grade **ssn->name, address**

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address
ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 21

CMU SCS

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
 - pitfalls of bad design
 - decomposition
 - lossless join decomp.
 - **dependency preserving**
 - normal forms

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 22

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables - counter-example:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S# -> address, status
address -> status

S# -> address S# -> status

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 23

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables - counter-example:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S# -> address, status
address -> status

S# -> address S# -> status
(Q: Why is it an issue?)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 24

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables - counter-example:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S# -> address, status
address -> status

S# -> address S# -> status
(Q: Why is it an issue?)
(A: insert [999, Pitts., E])

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 25

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables - counter-example:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S# -> address, status
address -> status

S# -> address S# -> status

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 26

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres. ^{of the COVER}

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables - counter-example:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S# -> address, status
address -> status

S# -> address S# -> status

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 27

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

- A subtle point
- To avoid it, use the 'canonical cover' of the FDs

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 28

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

dependency preserving decomposition:

S#	address	status
123	London	E
125	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	A

S#	address	address	status
123	London	London	E
125	Paris	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	Pitts.	A

S# -> address, status
address -> status

S# -> address address -> status
(but: S#->status ?)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 29

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

informally: we don't want the original FDs to span two tables.

More specifically: ... the FDs of the **canonical cover**.

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 30

CMU SCS

Decomposition - depend. pres.

Q: why is dependency preservation good?

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S#	address	address	status
123	London	London	E
125	Paris	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	Pitts.	A

S# -> address
S# -> status
(address->status: 'lost')

S# -> address address -> status

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 31

Decomposition - depend. pres.

A1: insert [999, Pitts., E] -> REJECT

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S#	address	address	status
123	London	London	E
125	Paris	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	Pitts.	A

S# -> address
S# -> status
(address->status: 'lost')

S# -> address address -> status

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 32

Decomposition - depend. pres.

A2: eg., record that 'Philly' has status 'A'

S#	address	S#	status
123	London	123	E
125	Paris	125	E
234	Pitts.	234	A

S#	address	address	status
123	London	London	E
125	Paris	Paris	E
234	Pitts.	Pitts.	A

S# -> address
S# -> status
(address->status: 'lost')

S# -> address address -> status

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 33

Decomposition - conclusions

- decompositions should always be lossless
 - joining attribute -> superkey **MUST HAVE**
- whenever possible, we want them to be dependency preserving (occasionally, impossible - see 'STJ' example later...)

Nice to have

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 34

CMU SCS

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
 - pitfalls of bad design
 - decomposition (-> how to fix the problem)
 - **normal forms** (-> how to detect the problem)
 - BCNF,
 - 3NF
 - (1NF, 2NF)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 35

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

We saw how to fix 'bad' schemas -
but what is a 'good' schema?

Answer: 'good', if it obeys a 'normal form',
ie., a set of rules.


Typically: Boyce-Codd Normal form

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 36

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

Defn.: Rel. R is in BCNF wrt F , if

- informally: everything depends on the full key, and nothing but the key 
- semi-formally: every determinant (of the cover) is a candidate key

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 37

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

Example and counter-example:

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
999	smith	Shady
234	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address
ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 38

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

Formally: for every FD $a \rightarrow b$ in F

- $a \rightarrow b$ is trivial (a superset of b) or
- a is a superkey

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 39

CMU SCS

Drill: Check formal defn:
 • $a \rightarrow b$ trivial, or
 • a is superkey

Normal forms

Example and counter-example:

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
999	smith	Shady
234	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address
ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 40

CMU SCS

Normal forms

Drill: Check formal defn:

- $a \rightarrow b$ trivial, or
- a is superkey

Example and counter-example:

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
999	smith	Shady
234	jones	Forbes

ssn → name, address
ssn, name → address

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn → name, address
ssn, c-id → grade
ssn, name → address
ssn, c-id, name → grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

Theorem: given a schema R and a set of FD 'F', we can **always** decompose it to schemas R1, ... Rn, so that

- R1, ... Rn are in **BCNF** and
- the decompositions are **lossless**.

(but, some decomp. might lose dependencies)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 42

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

How? algorithm in book: for a relation R

- for every FD $X \rightarrow A$ that violates BCNF, decompose to tables (X,A) and (R-A)
- repeat recursively

eg. TAKES1(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn → name, address
 ssn, c-id → grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 43

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

eg. TAKES1(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)
 ssn -> name, address ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 44

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

Ssn	c-id	Grade
123	413	A
123	415	B
234	211	A

Ssn	Name	Address
123	smith	Main
123	smith	Main
234	jones	Forbes

ssn, c-id -> grade ssn->name, address

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
234	211	A	jones	Forbes

ssn->name, address ssn, c-id -> grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 45

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

pictorially: we want a 'star' shape

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 46

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

pictorially: we want a 'star' shape

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 47

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

or a star-like: (eg., 2 cand. keys):
STUDENT(ssn, st#, name, address)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 48

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

but **not**:

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 49

CMU SCS

Overview - detailed

- DB design and normalization
 - pitfalls of bad design
 - decomposition (-> how to fix the problem)
 - **normal forms** (-> how to detect the problem)
 - BCNF,
 - ➔ • 3NF
 - (1NF, 2NF)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 50

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

Reminder

Theorem: given a schema R and a set of FD 'F', we can **always** decompose it to schemas R1, ... Rn, so that

- R1, ... Rn are in **BCNF** and
- the decompositions are **lossless**.

(but, some decomp. might lose dependencies)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 51

CMU SCS

Normal forms - BCNF

Reminder

Theorem: given a schema R and a set of FD 'F', we can **always** decompose it to schemas R1, ... Rn, so that

- R1, ... Rn are in **BCNF** and
- the decompositions are **lossless**.

(but, some decomp. might lose dependencies)

How is this possible?

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 52

CMU SCS

Subtle answer

In some rare cases, like the
(Student, Teacher, subJect)
setting:

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 53

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

consider the 'classic' case:
STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
T-> J
S,J -> T
is it BCNF?

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 54

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
T-> J S,J -> T
How to decompose it to BCNF?

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 55

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
 $T \rightarrow J$ $S, J \rightarrow T$

1) R1(T,J) R2(S,J)
 (BCNF? - lossless? - dep. pres.?)

2) R1(T,J) R2(S,T)
 (BCNF? - lossless? - dep. pres.?)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 56

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
 $T \rightarrow J$ $S, J \rightarrow T$

1) R1(T,J) R2(S,J)
 (BCNF? **Y+Y** - lossless? **N** - dep. pres.? **N**)

2) R1(T,J) R2(S,T)
 (BCNF? **Y+Y** - lossless? **Y** - dep. pres.? **N**)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 57

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
 $T \rightarrow J$ $S, J \rightarrow T$

in this case: impossible to have both

- BCNF **and**
- dependency preservation

Welcome 3NF!

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 58

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
 $T \rightarrow J$ $S, J \rightarrow T$

informally, 3NF
 'forgives' the red arrow
 in the canonical cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 59

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

STJ(Student, Teacher, subJect)
 $T \rightarrow J$ $S, J \rightarrow T$

Formally, a rel. R with FDs 'F' is in 3NF if:
 for every $a \rightarrow b$ in F:

- it is trivial or
- a is a superkey or
- b : part of a candidate key

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 60

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

how to bring a schema to 3NF?
 two algo's in book: First one:

- start from ER diagram and turn to tables
- then we have a set of tables R_1, \dots, R_n which are in 3NF
- for each FD $(X \rightarrow A)$ in the cover that is not preserved, create a table (X, A)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 61

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

how to bring a schema to 3NF?
 two algo's in book: Second one ('synthesis')

- take all attributes of R
- for each FD $(X \rightarrow A)$ in the cover, add a table (X,A)
- if not lossless, add a table with appropriate key

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 62

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:
 R: ABC
 F: $A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow B$
 Q1: what is the cover? What is the cand. key?
 Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 63

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:
 R: ABC
 F: $A \rightarrow B, C \rightarrow B$
 Q1: what is the cover? What is the cand. key?
 A1: 'F' is the cover; 'AB' is the cand. key
 Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 64

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:
 R: ABC
 F: A->B, C->B

Q1: what is the cover? What is the cand. key?
 A1: 'F' is the cover; 'AB' is the cand. key

Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?
 A2: R1(A,B), R2(C,B), ... [is it lossless??]

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 65

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF

Example:
 R: ABC
 F: A->B, C->B

Q1: what is the cover? What is the cand. key?
 A1: 'F' is the cover; 'AB' is the cand. key

Q2: what is the decomposition to 3NF?
 A2: R1(A,B), R2(C,B), R3(A,C)

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 66

CMU SCS

Normal forms - 3NF vs BCNF

- If 'R' is in BCNF, it is always in 3NF (but not the reverse)
- In practice, aim for
 - BCNF; lossless join; and dep. preservation
- if impossible, we accept
 - 3NF; but insist on lossless join and dep. preservation

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 67

CMU SCS

Normal forms - more details

- why '3'NF? what is 2NF? 1NF?
- 1NF: attributes are atomic (ie., no set-valued attr., a.k.a. 'repeating groups')

Ssn	Name	Dependents
123	Smith	Peter Mary John
234	Jones	Ann Michael

not 1NF

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 68

CMU SCS

Normal forms - more details

2NF: 1NF and non-key attr. fully depend on the key

counter-example: TAKES1(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn -> name, address ssn, c-id -> grade

not 2NF

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 69

CMU SCS

Normal forms - more details

- 3NF: 2NF and no transitive dependencies
- counter-example:

in 2NF, but **not** in 3NF

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 70

CMU SCS

Normal forms - more details

- 4NF, multivalued dependencies etc:
IGNORE
- in practice, E-R diagrams usually lead to tables in BCNF


Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 71

CMU SCS

Overview - conclusions

DB design and normalization

- pitfalls of bad design
- decompositions (lossless, dep. preserving)
- normal forms (BCNF or 3NF)

“everything should depend on the key, the **whole** key, and **nothing but** the key” 

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 72
