

CMU SCS

**Carnegie Mellon Univ.**  
**Dept. of Computer Science**  
**15-415/615 - DB Applications**

Lecture #16: Schema Refinement &  
 Normalization - Functional Dependencies  
 (R&G, ch. 19)

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

**Functional dependencies**

- motivation: 'good' tables

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

'good' or 'bad'?

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      2

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

**Functional dependencies**

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      3

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

'Bad' – Q: why?

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      4

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional Dependencies

- A: Redundancy
  - space
  - inconsistencies
  - insertion/deletion anomalies (later...)
- Q: What caused the problem?

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      5

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

- A: 'name' depends on the 'ssn'
- define 'depends'

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      6

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Overview

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - ➡ - definition
  - Armstrong's "axioms"
  - closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      7

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

Definition:  $a \rightarrow b$   
 'a' functionally determines 'b'

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      8

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

Informally: 'if you know 'a', there is only one 'b' to match'

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      9

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

formally:

$$X \rightarrow Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad (t1[x] = t2[x] \Rightarrow t1[y] = t2[y])$$

if two tuples agree on the 'X' attribute,  
the \*must\* agree on the 'Y' attribute, too  
(eg., if *ssn* is the same, so should *address*)

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      10

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

- 'X', 'Y' can be sets of attributes
- Q: other examples?? (no repeat courses)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      11

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

- ssn -> name, address
- ssn, c-id -> grade

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      12

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Overview

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - definition
  - ➔ - Armstrong's "axioms"
  - closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      13

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Master goal

- Given tables
  - STUDENT(ssn, ...)
  - TAKES(ssn, cid, ...)
- And FD (ssn -> ..., cid -> ...)
- WRITE CODE
- To automatically generate 'good' schemas

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      14

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## Functional dependencies

**Closure** of a set of FD: all implied FDs - eg.:

ssn -> name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade

**imply**

ssn, c-id -> grade, name, address

ssn, c-id -> ssn

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      15

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

**Closure** of a set of FD: all implied FDs - eg.:

- ssn -> name, address
- ssn, c-id -> grade

how to find all the implied ones, systematically?

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      16

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

“Armstrong's axioms” guarantee soundness and completeness:

- Reflexivity:  $Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$   
eg., ssn, name -> ssn
- Augmentation  $X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW$   
eg., ssn->name then ssn,grade-> name,grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      17

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Armstrong's axioms

- Transitivity  $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$

ssn -> address  
address -> county-tax-rate  
THEN:  
ssn -> county-tax-rate

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      18

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Reflexivity:  $Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$   
 Augmentation:  $X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW$   
 Transitivity:  $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$

**'sound' and 'complete'**

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      19

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Additional rules:

- Union  $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow YZ$
- Decomposition  $X \rightarrow YZ \Rightarrow \left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\}$
- Pseudo-transitivity  $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ YW \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow Z$

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      20

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove 'Union' from three axioms:

$$\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} X \rightarrow YZ$$

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      21

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove 'Union' from three axioms:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \quad (1) \\ X \rightarrow Z \quad (2) \end{array} \right\}$$

(1) + *augm. w/ Z*  $\Rightarrow XZ \rightarrow YZ$  (3)  
 (2) + *augm. w/ X*  $\Rightarrow XX \rightarrow XZ$  (4)  
*but XX is X; thus*  
 (3) + (4) *and transitivity*  $\Rightarrow X \rightarrow YZ$

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      22

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove Pseudo-transitivity:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW \\ X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ YW \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} XW \rightarrow Z$$

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      23

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove Decomposition

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW \\ X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$$

$$X \rightarrow YZ \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\}$$

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      24

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



CMU SCS

## Overview

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - definition
  - Armstrong's "axioms"
  - ➔ - closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      25

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Closure F+

Given a set F of FD (on a schema)  
 F+ is the set of all implied FD. Eg.,  
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade    }  
 ssn-> name, address } **F**

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      26

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - Closure F+

ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn-> name, address  
 ssn-> ssn  
 ssn, c-id-> address  
 c-id, address-> c-id  
 ...

} **F+**

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      27

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

Given a set F of FD (on a schema)  
 A+ is the set of all attributes determined by A:  
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn-> name, address } F

{ssn}+ = ??

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      28

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn-> name, address } F

{ssn}+ = {ssn,  
                   name, address }

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      29

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn-> name, address } F

{c-id}+ = ??

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      30

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)  
 ssn, c-id -> grade  
 ssn-> name, address } F

{c-id, ssn}+ = ??

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      31

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - Closure A+

if A+ = {all attributes of table}  
 then 'A' is a **superkey**

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      32

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - A+ closure - not in book

Diagrams

AB->C (1)  
 A->BC (2)  
 B->C (3)  
 A->B (4)

```

    graph TD
      subgraph Box
        A[A]
        B[B]
      end
      C[C]
      A --> B
      B --> C
      A --> C
    
```

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      33

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

Given a set  $F$  of FD (on a schema)  
 $F_c$  is a minimal set of equivalent FD. Eg.,  
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade

ssn-> name, address

ssn,name-> name, address

ssn, c-id-> grade, name

}

F

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      34

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

$F_c$

ssn, c-id -> grade

ssn-> name, address

ssn,name-> name, address

ssn, c-id-> grade, name

}

F

[ takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address) ]

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      35

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

- why do we need it?
- define it properly
- compute it efficiently

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      36

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

- why do we need it?
  - easier to compute candidate keys
- define it properly
- compute it efficiently

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      37

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

- define it properly - three properties
  - 1) the RHS of every FD is a single attribute
  - 2) the closure of  $F_c$  is identical to the closure of  $F$  (ie.,  $F_c$  and  $F$  are equivalent)
  - 3)  $F_c$  is minimal (ie., if we eliminate any attribute from the LHS or RHS of a FD, property #2 is violated)

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      38

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

## FDs - 'canonical cover' $F_c$

#3: we need to eliminate 'extraneous' attributes. An attribute is 'extraneous' if

- the closure is the same, before and after its elimination
- or if  $F$ -before implies  $F$ -after and vice-versa

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      39

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

$ssn, c-id \rightarrow grade$   
 $ssn \rightarrow name, address$   
 ~~$ssn, name \rightarrow name, address$~~   
 ~~$ssn, c-id \rightarrow grade, name$~~

} F

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      40

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

Algorithm:

- examine each FD; drop extraneous LHS or RHS attributes; or redundant FDs
- make sure that FDs have a single attribute in their RHS
- repeat until no change

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      41

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

Trace algo for

$AB \rightarrow C$  (1)  
 $A \rightarrow BC$  (2)  
 $B \rightarrow C$  (3)  
 $A \rightarrow B$  (4)

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      42

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

Trace algo for

AB->C (1)	AB->C (1)
A->BC (2)	A->B (2')
B->C (3)	A->C (2'')
A->B (4)	B->C (3)
	A->B (4)

split (2):

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      43

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

AB->C (1)	AB->C (1)
<del>A-&gt;B (2')</del>	
A->C (2'')	A->C (2'')
B->C (3)	B->C (3)
A->B (4)	A->B (4)

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      44

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

AB->C (1)	AB->C (1)
A->C (2'')	
B->C (3)	B->C (3)
A->B (4)	A->B (4)

(2''): redundant (implied by (4), (3) and transitivity)

Faloutsos & Pavlo                      SCS 15-415/615                      45

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

AB->C (1)	B->C (1')
B->C (3)	B->C (3)
A->B (4)	A->B (4)

in (1), 'A' is extraneous:  
 (1),(3),(4) imply (1'),(3),(4), and vice versa

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      46

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

~~B->C (1')~~

B->C (3)	B->C (3)
A->B (4)	A->B (4)

- nothing is extraneous
- all RHS are single attributes
- final and original set of FDs are equivalent (same closure)

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      47

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

CMU SCS

### FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

<p style="text-align: center;">BEFORE</p> <p>AB-&gt;C (1)</p> <p>A-&gt;BC (2)</p> <p>B-&gt;C (3)</p> <p>A-&gt;B (4)</p>		<p style="text-align: center;">AFTER</p> <div style="border: 1px solid green; padding: 5px; margin: 10px auto; width: 80%;"> <p>B-&gt;C (3)</p> <p>A-&gt;B (4)</p> </div>
---	--	---

R(A,B,C)

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      48

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---



CMU SCS 

## Overview - conclusions

- Functional dependencies
  - why
  - definition
  - Armstrong's "axioms"
  - closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo      SCS 15-415/615      49

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---