


Carnegie Mellon Univ.
Dept. of Computer Science
15-415/615 - DB Applications

Lecture #16: Schema Refinement &
 Normalization - Functional Dependencies
 (R&G, ch. 19)




Functional dependencies

- motivation: ‘good’ tables

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

‘good’ or ‘bad’?

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 2




Functional dependencies

takes1 (ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 3



Functional dependencies

‘Bad’ – Q: why?

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 4

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

‘Bad’ – Q: why?

- A: Redundancy
 - space
 - inconsistencies
 - insertion/deletion anomalies

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 5

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- insertion anomaly:
 - “jones” registers, but takes no class - no place to store his address!

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
...
234	Ⓝ	null	jones	Forbes

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 6

CMU SCS

Pitfalls

- deletion anomaly:
 - delete the last record of ‘smith’ (we lose his address!)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 7

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

‘Bad’ – Q: why?

- A: Redundancy
 - space
 - inconsistencies
 - insertion/deletion anomalies (later...)
- ➔ • Q: What caused the problem?

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 8

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

- A: 'name' depends on the 'ssn'
- define 'depends'

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 9

CMU SCS

Overview

- Functional dependencies
 - why
 - ➔ – definition
 - Armstrong's "axioms"
 - closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 10

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

Definition: $a \rightarrow b$
 'a' functionally determines 'b'

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 11

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

Informally: 'if you know 'a', there is only one 'b' to match'

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 12

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

formally:

$$X \rightarrow Y \quad \Rightarrow \quad (t1[x] = t2[x] \Rightarrow t1[y] = t2[y])$$

if two tuples agree on the 'X' attribute, the *must* agree on the 'Y' attribute, too (eg., if *ssn* is the same, so should *address*)

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 13

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

- 'X', 'Y' can be sets of attributes
- Q: other examples?? (no repeat courses)

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 14

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

- ssn -> name, address
- ssn, c-id -> grade

Ssn	c-id	Grade	Name	Address
123	413	A	smith	Main
123	415	B	smith	Main
123	211	A	smith	Main

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 15

CMU SCS

Overview

- Functional dependencies
 - why
 - definition
 - ➔ - Armstrong's "axioms"
 - closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 16

CMU SCS

Overall goal for both lectures

- Given tables
 - STUDENT(ssn,)
 - TAKES(ssn, cid, ...)
- And FD (ssn -> ..., cid-> ...)
- WRITE CODE
- To automatically generate ‘good’ schemas

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 17

CMU SCS

Overall goal for both lectures

“we want tables where the attributes depend on the primary key, on the **whole** key, and **nothing but** the key”

Faloutsos & Pavlo CMU SCS 15-415/615 18

CMU SCS

Functional dependencies

Closure of a set of FD: all implied FDs - eg.:

- ssn -> name, address
- ssn, c-id -> grade

imply

- ssn, c-id -> grade, name, address
- ssn, c-id -> ssn

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 19

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong’s axioms

Closure of a set of FD: all implied FDs - eg.:

- ssn -> name, address
- ssn, c-id -> grade

how to find all the implied ones, systematically?

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 20

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

“Armstrong's axioms” guarantee soundness and completeness:

- Reflexivity: $Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$
eg., ssn, name \rightarrow ssn
- Augmentation $X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW$
eg., ssn \rightarrow name then ssn, grade \rightarrow name, grade

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 21

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

- Transitivity $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$
 ssn \rightarrow address
 address \rightarrow county-tax-rate
 THEN:
 ssn \rightarrow county-tax-rate

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 22

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Reflexivity: $Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y$
 Augmentation: $X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW$
 Transitivity: $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$

‘sound’ and ‘complete’

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 23

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Additional rules:

- Union $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow YZ$
- Decomposition $X \rightarrow YZ \Rightarrow \left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\}$
- Pseudo-transitivity $\left. \begin{matrix} X \rightarrow Y \\ YW \rightarrow Z \end{matrix} \right\} \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow Z$

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 24

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove 'Union' from three axioms:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} X \rightarrow YZ$$

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 25

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove 'Union' from three axioms:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \quad (1) \\ X \rightarrow Z \quad (2) \end{array} \right\}$$

(1) + *augm. w/ Z* $\Rightarrow XZ \rightarrow YZ$ (3)
 (2) + *augm. w/ X* $\Rightarrow XX \rightarrow XZ$ (4)
but XX is X; thus
 (3) + (4) *and transitivity* $\Rightarrow X \rightarrow YZ$

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 26

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove Pseudo-transitivity:

$$\begin{array}{l} Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW \\ X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{array} \left\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \quad \Bigg| \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ YW \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\} \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} XW \rightarrow Z$$

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 27

CMU SCS

FDs - Armstrong's axioms

Prove Decomposition

$$\begin{array}{l} Y \subseteq X \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Y \Rightarrow XW \rightarrow YW \\ X \rightarrow Y \\ Y \rightarrow Z \end{array} \left\} \Rightarrow X \rightarrow Z \quad \Bigg| \quad X \rightarrow YZ \stackrel{?}{\Rightarrow} \left. \begin{array}{l} X \rightarrow Y \\ X \rightarrow Z \end{array} \right\}$$

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 28

CMU SCS

Overview

- Functional dependencies
 - why
 - definition
 - Armstrong’s “axioms”
 - ➔ – closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 29

CMU SCS

FDs - Closure F+

Given a set F of FD (on a schema)
 F+ is the set of all implied FD. Eg.,
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade }
 ssn-> name, address } **F**

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 30

CMU SCS

FDs - Closure F+

ssn, c-id -> grade
 ssn-> name, address
 ssn-> ssn
 ssn, c-id-> address
 c-id, address-> c-id
 ...

} **F+**

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 31

CMU SCS


FDs - Closure A+

Given a set F of FD (on a schema)
 A+ is the set of all attributes determined by A:
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade }
 ssn-> name, address } **F**

{ssn}+ =??

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 32

 CMU SCS


FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade
 ssn-> name, address } **F**

{ssn}⁺ = {ssn,

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 33

 CMU SCS


FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade
 ssn-> name, address } **F**

{ssn}⁺ = {ssn,
 name, address }

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 34

 CMU SCS


FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade
 ssn-> name, address } **F**

{c-id}⁺ = ??

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 35

 CMU SCS

FDs - Closure A+

takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

ssn, c-id -> grade
 ssn-> name, address } **F**

{c-id, ssn}⁺ = ??

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 36

CMU SCS

FDs - Closure A^+

if $A^+ = \{\text{all attributes of table}\}$
 then 'A' is a **superkey**

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 37

CMU SCS

FDs - A^+ closure - not in book

Diagrams

$AB \rightarrow C$ (1)
 $A \rightarrow BC$ (2)
 $B \rightarrow C$ (3)
 $A \rightarrow B$ (4)

Paint 'A' 'red';
 For each arrow, paint tip 'red', if base is 'red'

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 38

CMU SCS

FDs - A^+ closure - not in book

Diagrams

$AB \rightarrow C$ (1)
 $B \rightarrow C$ (3)
 $A \rightarrow B$ (4)

Repeat, without fd (2):

Paint 'A' 'red';
 For each arrow, paint tip 'red', if base is 'red'

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 39

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' F_c

Given a set F of FD (on a schema)
 F_c is a minimal set of equivalent FD. Eg.,
 takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address)

$ssn, c-id \rightarrow grade$
 $ssn \rightarrow name, address$
 $ssn, name \rightarrow name, address$
 $ssn, c-id \rightarrow grade, name$

} **F**

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 40

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' F_c

F_c

ssn, c-id \rightarrow grade
 ssn \rightarrow name, address

ssn, name \rightarrow name, address
 ssn, c-id \rightarrow grade, name

} **F**

(takes(ssn, c-id, grade, name, address))

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 41

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' F_c

- why do we need it?
- define it properly
- compute it efficiently

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 42

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' F_c

- why do we need it?
 - easier to compute candidate keys
- define it properly
- compute it efficiently

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 43

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' F_c

- define it properly - three properties
 - 1) the RHS of every FD is a single attribute
 - 2) the closure of F_c is identical to the closure of F (ie., F_c and F are equivalent)
 - 3) F_c is minimal (ie., if we eliminate any attribute from the LHS or RHS of a FD, property #2 is violated)

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 44

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

#3: we need to eliminate 'extraneous' attributes. An attribute is 'extraneous' if

- the closure is the same, before and after its elimination
- or if F-before implies F-after and vice-versa

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 45

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

ssn, c-id -> grade

ssn-> name, address

ssn, name -> name, address

ssn, c-id -> grade, name

} F

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 46

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

Algorithm:

- examine each FD; drop extraneous LHS or RHS attributes; or redundant FDs
- make sure that FDs have a single attribute in their RHS
- repeat until no change

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 47

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

Trace algo for

AB->C (1)

A->BC (2)

B->C (3)

A->B (4)

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 48

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

Trace algo for

AB->C (1)	AB->C (1)
A->BC (2)	A->B (2')
B->C (3)	A->C (2'')
A->B (4)	B->C (3)
split (2):	A->B (4)

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 49

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

AB->C (1)	AB->C (1)
A->B (2')	A->C (2'')
A->C (2'')	B->C (3)
B->C (3)	A->B (4)
A->B (4)	

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 50

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

AB->C (1)	AB->C (1)
A->C (2'')	
B->C (3)	B->C (3)
A->B (4)	A->B (4)

(2''): redundant (implied by (4), (3) and transitivity)

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 51

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' Fc

AB->C (1)	B->C (1')
B->C (3)	B->C (3)
A->B (4)	A->B (4)

in (1), 'A' is extraneous:
 (1),(3),(4) imply (1'),(3),(4), and vice versa

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 52

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' F_c

~~$B \rightarrow C$ (1')~~

$B \rightarrow C$ (3) $A \rightarrow B$ (4)	$B \rightarrow C$ (3) $A \rightarrow B$ (4)
--	--

- nothing is extraneous
- all RHS are single attributes
- final and original set of FDs are equivalent (same closure)

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 53

CMU SCS

FDs - 'canonical cover' F_c

<p style="text-align: center;">BEFORE</p> $AB \rightarrow C$ (1) $A \rightarrow BC$ (2) $B \rightarrow C$ (3) $A \rightarrow B$ (4)	<p style="text-align: center;">AFTER</p> $B \rightarrow C$ (3) $A \rightarrow B$ (4)
--	---

$R(A,B,C)$

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 54

CMU SCS

Overview - conclusions

- Functional dependencies
 - why
 - definition
 - Armstrong's "axioms"
 - closure and cover

Faloutsos & Pavlo SCS 15-415/615 55